



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Audi ess .	Washington, D.C. 20231

	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPL	ICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
04	7807×034	12/09/85	BODOR	И	023800-002

NOTEMAN H. STEPNO BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS GEORGE MASON BLDG. WASHINGTON & PRINCE STS., F. O. BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 EXAMINER
ROBERTS y E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
1.25

DATE MAILED: 03/28/86

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on	This action is made final.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandone	-				
y	e Patent Drawing, PTO-948. f informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152				
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION					
1. X Claims 1-51, 56-63 and 65-114	are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.				
2. Claims	have been cancelled.				
3. \(\sigma\) Claims \(\frac{7}{3}\) 46-51 and 90	are allowed.				
1. \ Claims 1-6, 8-45, 56-63, 65-89 and 91-	are rejected.				
5. Claims	are objected to.				
6. Claims	Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated.					
8. Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in resp	Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.				
The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation).					
	The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).				
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed, has been ap the Patent and Trademark Office no longer makes drawing changes. It is now applic corrected. Corrections MUST be effected in accordance with the instructions set for EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES", PTO-1474.	ant's responsibility to ensure that the drawings are				
12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified	Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received				
been filed in parent application, serial no; filed					
13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal mat accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	tters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in				
14. Other					

Serial No. 807,034
Art Unit 125

The claims now in the case to be acted upon are 1-51, 56-63, and 65-114.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-6, 8-45, 56-63, 65-89 and 91-114 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Phillipps et al (1) (2) and Edwards in combination with Sarrett et al .

The primary references disclose the 17 β - carboxylates-17 hydroxy and/or acyloxy- antiinflammatory steroids of the androst an series. The Sarett patent shows the conventionality of modifying hydroxy substituent with oxy-carboxyl-oxy substituents at the 17 α -position of the steroid nucleus.

Modification of the compounds shown by the primary references with a carbonate ester at C-17 \propto -position,

Art Unit 125

as recited in the claims, would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art with the references of record before him. Hence, the claims are precluded from patentability by the statute. The composition claims as well as the method of use claims would be equally as obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 7, 46-51 and 90 are allowable as presently advised.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE 3mos FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.

Roberts/kl 703/557-3920 3-22-86

> bert L. Roberts rimary Examiner and Unit 12th

Robert